

Appl. No. 10/023,121; Docket No. NL 000723  
Amdt. dated: November 10, 2005  
Response to Office Action of October 4, 2005

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-6 remain pending in the application.

Applicant appreciates Examiner's acknowledgment of allowable subject matter in the above claims.

Applicant appreciates the Office Action's suggestion to add section headings to the Specification but respectfully declines the invitation. As noted in the Office Action and also in MPEP § 608.01(a) the suggested section headings are merely preferred and not required. Thus, no amendments to the disclosure have been presented.

The Abstract in the Specification has been amended per Examiner's observations. The term, "Fig. 2" has been deleted.

Replacement drawing sheets have been included in response to Examiner's observations. Devices have been labeled in all the devices in FIGS. 1, 3, and 4. Applicant believes that Examiner's concerns have been addressed.

In response to the claim objections, the claims have been amended to remove informalities. Applicant believes the objections have been addressed.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 have been reviewed and antecedent basis issues for the limitation "data values" have been addressed. Applicant respectfully traverses the Office Action's assertion of indefiniteness in the claims. The feature "data values" find support in the Specification. "In operation the first circuit 10 produces data, for example video image signal data or a [sic] results of computations. The first circuit transmits this [sic] data via communication bus 12 to second circuit 14. Second circuit 14 receives the data and processes it, for example in order to display or store video information, or to perform computations on the data (Specification, page 3, lines 14-17)." Applicant asserts that "data values" may be video image information or computational results. Therefore, the claimed feature, "data value(s)" has sufficient support and *does not* lack indefiniteness. Consequently, Applicant requests that the § 112 rejection be withdrawn.

Appl. No. 10/023,121; Docket No. NL 000723  
Amdt. dated: November 10, 2005  
Response to Office Action of October 4, 2005

In that the claims are allowable as amended, Applicant requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued.

The Commissioner is hereby requested and authorized pursuant to 37 CFR §1.136(a)(3), to treat any concurrent or future reply in this application requiring a petition for extension of time for its timely submission, as incorporating a petition for extension of time for the appropriate length of time. Please charge any additional fees that may now or in the future be required in this application, including extension of time fees, but excluding the issue fee unless explicitly requested to do so, and credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11-NOV-2005

By Peter Zawilski  
Peter Zawilski  
Registration No. 43,305  
(408) 474-9063

Correspondence Address:

Intellectual Property & Standards  
Philips Electronics North America Corporation  
1109 McKay Drive; Mail Stop SJ41  
San Jose, CA 95131 USA

CUSTOMER NUMBER: 24738